IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 894 of 2003

Between:

Holy Mary Institute of Technology & Science College of Education (Established by Holy Trinity Educational Society) (Regn.No.619/1993), Yenkepally, Vikarabad, Ranga Reddy District rep. by its Correspondent
Sri Y.Thomas Reddy.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Government of A.P., rep. by its Principal Secretary, Education (SE-TRG-I) Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad-22.

2. The Commissioner and Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to declare the impugned G.O.Rt.No.831 dt.29.12.2002: a) In so far as it requires the petitioner to surrender the seats remaining unfilled after filling up the seats with available minority students to the Convenor EDCET-2002 and not allowing the petitioner to admit Non-Minority Students; (b) In so far as it does not allow the 15% Management Quota for admission of students at par with Non-Minority colleges; and the consequential proceeding dt.1.1.2003 issued by the 2nd respondent stipulating that the admissions should be made as per the religious status mentioned in the rank Card in EDCET 2002 as unconstitutional offending Article 30(1) of the Constitution of India by way of issue of writ order or direction, one more particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.S.SRI RAM

Counsel for the Respondent: G.P. for Higher Education

The Court made the following :

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.894 OF 2003

ORDER:

By impugned order being G.O.Rt.No.831, dated 29.12.2002, the first respondent prescribed certain guidelines to be followed in the matter of issue of minority status certificates to the educational agencies. The Government Order was issued for the academic year 2002-2003. It is brought to the notice of this Court that for the year 2003-2004, the Government issued fresh guidelines. In that view of the matter, the questions raised are purely academic and therefore the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO,J)

17.11.2004.

pln

To

1. The Principal Secretary, Government of A.P., Education (SE-TRG-I) Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad-22.
2. The Commissioner and Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
3. 2 CCs to the G.P. for Higher Education, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).
4. 2 CD copies.